Colorado River Commission of Nevada

Natural Resources Group Hydrologic Update February 18, 2016





Unregulated Inflow Into Lake Powell As of February 8, 2016

	MAF*	% Avg**
• WY 2016 (Projected):	9.92	92%
 April-July 2016 (Projected): 	6.70	94%
 January (observed): 	0.30	83%
 February (forecasted): 	0.35	89%

*MAF=Million Acre-Feet

**30-year average, from 1981-2010 (current normal)



Storage Conditions As of February 8, 2016							
		Percent of Capacity	Δ from last year				
Lake Mead elev.	1084.03 ft	40%	4.82 ft				
Lake Powell elev.	3,595.99 ft	47%	1 2.70 ft				
Total System Storage (2/2016)	29.35 maf	49%	📕 0.02 maf				
Total System Storage (2/2015)	29.37 maf	49%					



Lake Powell Projections

Reclamation's January 24-Month Study



Lake Mead Projections Reclamation's January 24-Month Study



- January 2016 Most Probable Inflow with Lake Powell Release of 9.00 maf in WY 2016 and WY 2017

----- January 2016 Probable Minimum Inflow with Lake Powell Release of 9.0 maf in WY 2016 and 8.23 maf in WY 2017

Historical Elevations

Reservoir Storage As of February 10, 2016

Data Current as of: 02/10/2016

Upper Colorado River Drainage Basin



Colorado River Reservoir Storages

Basin	Reservoir	Max Storage	*Current Storage	Percentage	Current Storage subtotals
Upper Basin	Crystal Reservoir	17,356	15,015	87%	
	Flaming Gorge	3,749,000	3,142,874	84%	
	Fontenelle	344,800	160,662	47%	5,402,454
	Morrow Point	117,190	109,838	94%	
	Blue Mesa	829,500	579,013	70%	
	Navajo	1,696,000	1,395,052	82%	
	Lake Powell	24,322,000	11,340,672	47%	
Lower Basin	Lake Mead	26,120,000	10,355,000	40%	
	Lake Mohave	1,809,800	1,645,800	91%	2 108 000
	Lake Havasu	619,400	552,200	89%	2,198,000
	TOTAL	59,625,046	29,296,126	49%	

*Data current as 2/10/2016

http://www.usbr.gov/lc/region/g4000/hourly/levels.html

http://www.usbr.gov/uc/water/rsvrs/ops/r40day.html

U.S. Drought Monitor

West



February 9, 2016

(Released Thursday, Feb. 11, 2016) Valid 7 a.m. EST

Intensity:



http://droughtmonitor.unl.edu/Home/RegionalDroughtMonitor.aspx?west

U.S. Seasonal Drought Outlook Drought Tendency During the Valid Period

Valid for January 21 - April 30, 2016 Released January 21, 2016



Depicts large-scale trends based on subjectively derived probabilities guided by short- and long-range statistical and dynamical forecasts. Use caution for applications that can be affected by short lived events. "Ongoing" drought areas are based on the U.S. Drought Monitor areas (intensities of D1 to D4).

NOTE: The tan areas imply at least a 1-category improvement in the Drought Monitor intensity levels by the end of the period, although drought will remain. The green areas imply drought removal by the end of the period (D0 or none).

Drought persists

Drought remains but improves

Drought removal likely

Drought development likely



http://go.usa.gov/3eZ73



Colorado Basin River Forecast Center

Average 1981-2010 _ 2016 _ 2015 _

Precipitation – Colorado River Basin As of February 8, 2016

WY Precip to Date

<u>Upper Colorado</u> <u>Basin</u>

106% (13.1")

Current Basin Snowpack

111% (11.5")

(Avg 1981-2010)



Precipitation



Monthly Precipitation for January 2016 (Averaged by Hydrologic Unit)



http://www.cbrfc.noaa.gov/product/mapsum/mapsum.php?area=cbrfc

Water Use in Southern Nevada



Water Use in Southern Nevada

January – December 2015

2015^{*}: Consumptive Use = 222,699 af

2014: Consumptive Use = 224,622 af

Difference = - 1,923 af

*Subject to final accounting.



Precipitation and Temperature on Valley Water Use

- The planning division within LVVWD has developed a demand model that shows changes in average daily water use per account caused by deviations in temperature, precipitation, and wind speed from normal conditions.
- On a monthly basis the model suggests that each degree above normal results in an 11 gallon increase in average daily use.
- Each inch of precipitation above normal results in a 32 gallon decrease in average daily use
- Each one mph of wind speed above normal results in a 16 gallon increase in average daily use.
- The model is updated yearly to reflect the most current available data.
- Due to turf removal programs the impact of temperature and precipitation is causing less of an effect than it did in the past.



Colorado River Commission of Nevada

Questions?

Warren Turkett Ph.D. wturkett@crc.nv.gov



